

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,457	11/01/1999	DIRK JANSEN	DT-3198	8744
30377 75	90 01/20/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVID TOREN, ESQ.			SHAH, KAMINI S	
787 SEVENTH	ΓΙΝ, BROWN & WOOI AVENUE	D, LLP	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	W YORK, NY 10019-6018		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			in				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/431,457	JANSEN, DIRK					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kamini S Shah	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in objection, above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we see that the proposed amendment of the proposed			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	Ì				
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•						
0. Other:	· // · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)				

Kamini S Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2863 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendment raise new issue like regulating means, data interface conncetion for external computer, and programming part includes program controlled electronic control and regulating means.